



Department of Energy

**Environmental Management
Consolidated Business Center
250 East 5th Street, Suite 500
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 246-0500**

January 20, 2015

EMCBC-00102-15

To: Interested Small Business Contractors

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSED FACILITIES DRAFT REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL NOTICE

This letter hereby requests review and comment from interested parties of the Draft Request for Proposal (RFP) No. DE-SOL-0007515 pertaining to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Licensed Facilities procurement. The Draft RFP is being issued for informational purposes only to assist the Department of Energy (DOE) in developing a Final RFP for this procurement.

PLEASE NOTE: The NRC Licensed Facilities procurement will be conducted as a set-aside for small businesses that do not exceed the small business size standard of \$38.5M (annual receipts) under NAICS code 561210, Facilities Support Services.

DOE is not requesting proposals at this time, and interested parties shall not submit proposals in response to the Draft RFP. DOE will not evaluate any proposals received in response to the Draft RFP. Proposals shall be submitted only in response to the Final RFP, which will be released at a later date.

DOE hereby invites all interested parties to thoroughly examine the Draft RFP and the accompanying website in their entirety and to submit comments in writing via the email address NRCLicensedFacilities@emcbc.doe.gov. The Draft RFP is subject to change in the development of the Final RFP as a result of DOE's consideration of the comments received from interested parties in response to the Draft RFP. In particular, DOE is seeking feedback from interested parties on the following:

1. Does the Draft RFP contain any potential restrictive barriers to competition? Do barriers exist (DOE-imposed or otherwise) that would hinder your firm from participating in this acquisition? If so, please identify.
2. What are the important discriminating factors for the Evaluation Criteria that DOE should consider in making this contractor selection?

3. Are the Section B Contract Line Item Number (CLIN) pricing structure and Section L pricing instructions clear? If not, what is unclear and how can these sections be improved?
4. Do the Draft RFP and the procurement website contain all of the information needed to reasonably prepare a proposal for the firm-fixed-price work detailed in Section C of the Draft RFP? If not, what additional information is needed to be able to submit a proposal?
5. Is the Section C PWS requirement description sufficiently clear to provide the firm-fixed-price NRC Licensed Facilities requirements? If not, identify what is unclear and how it can be improved.
6. The List of Exhibits provided in Section C provides a listing of technical documents and other attachments that will be provided with the Final RFP. Is there additional information that would be necessary to be able to submit a firm-fixed-price proposal?
7. Are the Section H workforce transition, hiring and pension clauses clear to potential offerors? If not, what is unclear and how can these clauses be improved?
8. Are the page limitations included in Section L of the Draft RFP for the Technical Proposal (Volume II) sufficient? If not, please provide input.
9. DOE is considering adding a deliverable for the successful Offeror to submit a complete Basis of Estimate within 5 days of contract award (including estimating assumptions, resource quantities, and unit rates) to support the proposed firm-fixed-price. Please provide input as to whether DOE should add this deliverable.
10. Would an additional site tour, including entry into various facilities, be beneficial after release of the final solicitation in order to assist in preparation of a firm-fixed-price proposal? If so, please indicate which specific facilities or areas you are interested in touring?
11. Do you have any additional suggestions?

In addition to requesting that interested parties review the Draft RFP and provide feedback, DOE requests that interested parties review all of the information and/or documentation available on the NRC Licensed Facilities procurement website and identify any additional reference documentation or information that would facilitate the preparation of proposals. Interested parties will have until February 3, 2015, to submit comments regarding the Draft RFP. Please provide comments in Microsoft Word in the table format provided (Enclosure 1), separated by Draft RFP section. DOE does not anticipate posting responses on the procurement website to any questions or comments pertaining to the Draft RFP; however, DOE will consider comments when preparing the Final RFP. Interested parties will be given the opportunity to submit questions and comments in writing for DOE response once the Final RFP is issued.

The CH2M/WG Idaho L.L.C. Contract DOE-AC07-05ID14516 (CWI DOE Contract) and the Elite Contract DOE-AC07-05ID14516 (Elite DOE Contract) are currently performing the services listed in the Draft RFP for DOE at this time. The NRC Licensed Facilities procurement encompasses ongoing work scope that can be set aside to a small business, in support of the U.S. DOE Idaho Cleanup Project. This requirement is for managing Spent Nuclear Fuel (SNF) storage facilities and licenses under NRC regulations. This scope includes the management and operation of the Fort Saint Vrain (FSV) Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) facility in Colorado (including security); the management, operation and oversight of the Three Mile Island-2 (TMI-2) ISFSI facility at the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center

(INTEC) in Idaho; and management of the Idaho Spent Fuel Facility (ISFF) license. The requirements include, but are not limited to, services for operations, physical security, general infrastructure (including utilities and communication services), maintenance and execution of the key programs (Worker Safety and Health, Occupational Medical Program, Integrated Safety Management System, emergency management, quality assurance, radiation protection, waste management, safeguards and security, information management, records management, property management, training, radiological environmental monitoring, aging management, natural gas and oil monitoring and radioactive effluent control), preparation of technical evidence to support license renewal for TMI-2, support of NRC or DOE inspections, and support for information requests.

In developing the Final RFP from the Draft RFP, DOE may consider some or all of the comments received from interested parties in response to the Draft RFP. After the Final RFP is issued and proposals are received in response to the Final RFP, DOE intends to award one contract on the basis of best value to the Government.

Sincerely,

//signed//

LeAnn M. Brock
Contracting Officer

Enclosures:

1. Comment Table Format
2. Draft RFP DE-SOL-0007515 (see Draft RFP Tab on EMCBC website)

cc electronic w/ enclosure:

Wendy Bauer, EMCBC

John Blecher, EMCBC

Comment Table for Draft Request for Proposal (RFP) No. DE-SOL-0007515**NRC Licensed Facilities**

No.	Section	Page	Comment
N/A	L.35(b)	L-25	Example of annotating section and page.
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			